

Retardation Terms in The One-Gluon Exchange Potential

Jun-Chen Su and Jian-Xing Chen

Center for Theoretical Physics, Department of Physics,
Jilin University, Changchun 130023,
People's Republic of China

Abstract

It is pointed out that the retardation terms given in the original Fermi-Breit potential vanish in the center of mass frame. The retarded one-gluon exchange potential is rederived in this paper from the three-dimensional one-gluon exchange kernel which appears in the exact three-dimensional relativistic equation for quark-antiquark bound states. The retardation part of the potential given in the approximation of order p^2/m^2 is shown to be different from those derived in the previous literature. This part is off-shell and does no longer vanish in the center of mass frame.

PACS number(s): 12.40.Qq, 11.10.St, 14.40.Gx.

Key words: One-gluon exchange potential, Retardation effect.

In the quark potential model^[1-6], the one-gluon exchange potential (OGEP), or say, the Fermi-Breit (F-B) potential, usually is chosen to describe the short-range interaction between two quarks (qq), two antiquarks ($\bar{q}\bar{q}$) or a quark and an antiquark ($q\bar{q}$). This potential was originally derived from the t-channel one-gluon exchange S-matrix^[7] or the t-channel one-gluon exchange kernel appearing in the Bethe-Salpeter (B-S) equation^[4,5]. In the relativistic version, the potential may be represented in the form

$$V(p'_1, p'_2; p_1, p_2) = U^+(\vec{p}'_1)U^+(\vec{p}'_2)\hat{V}(1, 2)U(\vec{p}_1)U(\vec{p}_2) \quad (1)$$

where

$$U(\vec{p}_i) = \sqrt{\frac{E_i + m_i}{2E_i}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{\vec{\sigma}_i \cdot \vec{p}_i}{E_i + m_i} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

is the Dirac spinor for i th quark, satisfying the normalization condition $U^\dagger(\vec{p}_i) U(\vec{p}_i) = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{V}(1, 2) &= i\gamma_1^0 \gamma_2^0 K(1, 2) \\ &= g^2 \hat{C}_s \gamma_1^0 \gamma_1^\mu D_{\mu\nu}(Q) \gamma_2^0 \gamma_2^\nu \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

is the interaction operator. The first equality in Eq.(3) shows the general relation of the interaction operator with the B-S irreducible kernel $K(1, 2)$, while the second equality gives the expression for the t-channel one-gluon exchange interaction in which $\hat{C}_s$ stands for the color matrix

$$\hat{C}_s = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda_1^c}{2} \frac{\lambda_2^c}{2} (\frac{\lambda_1^{c*}}{2} \frac{\lambda_2^{c*}}{2}), & \text{for } qq \ (\bar{q}\bar{q}), \\ -\frac{\lambda_1^c}{2} \frac{\lambda_2^{c*}}{2}, & \text{for } q\bar{q}. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

and $D_{\mu\nu}(Q)$ denotes the gluon propagator whose argument Q is defined as

$$Q = p_1' - p_1 = p_2 - p_2' \quad (5)$$

Upon inserting Eq.(3) into Eq.(1), in the Feynman gauge which was often used in the previous derivation of the FBP, we may write

$$V(p_1', p_2'; p_1, p_2) = 4\pi\alpha_s \hat{C}_s \Gamma(\vec{p}_1', \vec{p}_2'; \vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2) D(Q) \quad (6)$$

where $\alpha_s = g^2/4\pi$,

$$\Gamma(\vec{p}_1', \vec{p}_2'; \vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2) = U^\dagger(\vec{p}_1') \gamma_1^0 \gamma_1^\mu U(\vec{p}_1) U^\dagger(\vec{p}_2') \gamma_2^0 \gamma_2^\mu U(\vec{p}_2) \quad (7)$$

and

$$D(Q) = -\frac{1}{Q^2 + i\epsilon} \quad (8)$$

Ordinarily, the OGEP is derived in the nonrelativistic approximation up to the order of $p^2/m^2(v^2/c^2)$ and given in the three-dimensional space. By making use of the nonrelativistic expression for the quark energy

$$E_i = \sqrt{\vec{p}_i^2 + m_i^2} \approx m_i + \frac{\vec{p}_i^2}{2m_i} \quad (9)$$

the three-dimensional spinor matrix in Eq.(7) will be written, up to the order p^2/m^2 , as follows^[1,7]

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(\vec{P}, \vec{q}, \vec{k}) = & 1 - \frac{\vec{P}^2}{m_{12}^2} - \frac{(m_1 - m_2)^2}{8m_1^2 m_2^2} (\vec{q} - \vec{k})^2 - \\
& \frac{m_1 - m_2}{2m_1 m_2 m_{12}} \vec{P} \cdot (\vec{q} + \vec{k}) + \frac{\vec{q} \cdot \vec{k}}{m_1 m_2} \\
& + \frac{i}{4m_{12}} [\vec{P} \times (\vec{q} - \vec{k})] \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{\sigma}_1}{m_1} - \frac{\vec{\sigma}_2}{m_2} \right) \\
& - \frac{(\vec{q} - \vec{k})^2}{6m_1 m_2} \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2 + \frac{(\vec{q} - \vec{k})^2}{4m_1 m_2} T_{12}(\vec{q} - \vec{k}) \\
& + \frac{i}{4m_1 m_2} (\vec{q} \times \vec{k}) \cdot \left[\left(2 + \frac{m_2}{m_1} \right) \vec{\sigma}_1 + \left(2 + \frac{m_1}{m_2} \right) \vec{\sigma}_2 \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where

$$T_{12}(\vec{q} - \vec{k}) = \frac{1}{|\vec{q} - \vec{k}|^2} \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot (\vec{q} - \vec{k}) \vec{\sigma}_2 \cdot (\vec{q} - \vec{k}) - \frac{1}{3} \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2 \tag{11}$$

is the tensor force. In the above, we have introduced the total momentum $\vec{P}$ and the relative momentum $\vec{k}$ and $\vec{q}$ for the initial and final states of the two particles respectively. They are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{p}_1 &= \eta_1 \vec{P} + \vec{k}, \quad \vec{p}_2 = \eta_2 \vec{P} - \vec{k} \\
\vec{p}'_1 &= \eta_1 \vec{P} + \vec{q}, \quad \vec{p}'_2 = \eta_2 \vec{P} - \vec{q} \\
\eta_i &= \frac{m_i}{m_{12}}, \quad m_{12} = m_1 + m_2, \quad i = 1, 2
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

To obtain a three-dimensional potential, the question left is centered on how to reduce the four-dimensional gluon propagator to a three-dimensional form. In the original derivation of the F-B potential, with the recognition that the Coulomb term in the potential is dominant^[2,6], meaning that the ratio $Q_0^2/\vec{Q}^2$ is much less than unity, the vector boson propagator was reasonably expanded as^[7]

$$D(Q) = \frac{1}{\vec{Q}^2 - Q_0^2} \approx \frac{1}{\vec{Q}^2} \left(1 + \frac{Q_0^2}{\vec{Q}^2} \right) \tag{13}$$

Based on the energy conservation denoted in Eq.(5) and the nonrelativistic fermion energies written in Eq.(9), in the approximation of the order p^2/m^2 , the time-component of the transfer momentum squared in Eq.(13) may be taken to be ^[7]

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0^2 &= (E'_1 - E_1)(E_2 - E'_2) \\ &\approx \frac{1}{4m_1m_2}(4\vec{Q} \cdot \vec{p}_1 \vec{Q} \cdot \vec{p}_2 + 2\vec{Q}^2 \vec{Q} \cdot \vec{p}_2 - 2\vec{Q}^2 \vec{Q} \cdot \vec{p}_1 - \vec{Q}^4) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

On substituting this expression into Eq.(13), the propagator was approximately represented in the following three-dimensional form^[1,7]

$$D(Q) = \frac{1}{\vec{Q}^2} - \frac{1}{4m_1m_2} - \frac{\vec{Q} \cdot (\vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_2)}{2m_1m_2 \vec{Q}^2} + \frac{\vec{Q} \cdot \vec{p}_1 \vec{Q} \cdot \vec{p}_2}{m_1m_2 \vec{Q}^4} \quad (15)$$

where the first term gives the coulombic potential which describes the instantaneous interaction, while the remaining terms represent the retardation effect. When Eqs.(10) and (15) are inserted into Eq.(6) and the terms higher than p^2/m^2 are neglected, the well-known F-B potential will be recovered.

It should be pointed out that according to the procedure of derivation stated above, the retardation terms in the F-B potential may possibly be given different expressions. This is because the Q_0^2 in Eq.(13) may be represented in different ways. For example, in accordance with the relation shown in Eq.(5), we may also write $Q_0^2 = (E'_1 - E_1)^2$ or $Q_0^2 = (E_2 - E'_2)^2$. Corresponding to either of these two representations, in the approximation of order p^2/m^2 , the propagator will be given a different expression

$$D(Q) = \frac{1}{\vec{Q}^2} + \frac{1}{4m_1^2} + \frac{(\vec{Q} \cdot \vec{p}_1)^2}{m_1^2 \vec{Q}^4} + \frac{\vec{Q} \cdot \vec{p}_1}{m_1^2 \vec{Q}^2} \quad (16)$$

or

$$D(Q) = \frac{1}{\vec{Q}^2} + \frac{1}{4m_2^2} + \frac{(\vec{Q} \cdot \vec{p}_2)^2}{m_2^2 \vec{Q}^4} - \frac{\vec{Q} \cdot \vec{p}_2}{m_2^2 \vec{Q}^2} \quad (17)$$

The different expressions given in Eqs.(15)-(17) will be consistent with each other when and only when they are constrained by the energy-momentum conservation. In fact, when the nonrelativistic energies in Eq.(9) are substituted into the energy-conservation

$$E'_1 + E'_2 = E_1 + E_2 \quad (18)$$

and then the momentum conservation shown in Eq.(5) is employed, one may find

$$\vec{Q}^2 = \frac{2}{m_{12}}(m_1 \vec{p}_2 - m_2 \vec{p}_1) \cdot \vec{Q} \quad (19)$$

With this relation, it is easy to prove that the expressions in Eqs.(15)-(17) may be transformed to one another. This proof indicates that the energy-momentum conservation acts a necessary constraint to guarantee the retardation terms in the F-B potential to be uniquely determined.

When the energies in Eq.(18) are replaced by the nonrelativistic ones shown in Eq.(9) and then the momenta of single particles are represented through the total and relative momenta defined in Eq.(12), it will be found

$$\vec{q}^2 = \vec{k}^2 \quad (20)$$

With the aid of this relation and those shown in Eq.(12), the Q_0^2 defined in the first line of Eq.(14) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0^2 &= \frac{1}{4m_1m_2}(\vec{p}_1'^2 - \vec{p}_1^2)(\vec{p}_2^2 - \vec{p}_2'^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{m_{12}^2}(\vec{Q} \cdot \vec{P})^2 \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

This result may directly be derived from the last expression of Eq.(14), when the momenta $\vec{p}_1$ and $\vec{p}_2$ in the expression are replaced by the total and relative momenta and then using the following relation

$$\vec{Q} \cdot \vec{k} = -\frac{1}{2}\vec{Q}^2 \quad (22)$$

which is obtained from Eq.(19) by employing the relations denoted in Eq.(12). From Eq.(21), it is clear to see that in the center of mass frame ($\vec{P} = 0$), we have

$$Q_0^2 = 0 \quad (23)$$

Due to the above equality, Eq.(13) becomes

$$D(Q) = \frac{1}{\vec{Q}^2} \quad (24)$$

which is completely instantaneous. This result shows that the ordinary F-B potential fails to describe the retardation effect existing in the center of mass

frame. The failure obviously originates from the use of the on-mass-shell approximation shown in Eq.(14) in the derivation of the three-dimensional approximate propagator given in Eq.(15). The above result also indicates that only the instantaneous part of the F-B potential can be reasonably used in the study of hadron spectroscopy.

Quite recently, the retardation effect has attracted some interest in the study of hadron spectroscopy^[6,8,9]. In Ref.(8), the authors derived a certain retardation terms for the scalar and vector couplings in a semirelativistic quark potential model. In Ref.(9), the retardation effect was especially investigated in some detail. In the investigation, the authors did not give an explicit three-dimensional expression of the retardation corrections to the potential. Instead, they directly evaluated the retardation corrections to the quarkonium with the help of a regularization procedure. The retardation corrections were defined as the difference between the values obtained from solving the four dimensional B-S equation for bound states by using the exact one gluon exchange B-S kernel and the instantaneous one.

In this paper, we study the retardation effect from a different angle and are devoted to deriving explicit expressions of the retardation part of the OGEP. The essential feature of the derivation is that we start from an exact three-dimensional relativistic equation for $q\bar{q}(qq \text{ or } \bar{q}\bar{q})$ bound states which is equivalent to the corresponding four-dimensional B-S equation^[10,11].

$$\begin{aligned} & [E - h(\vec{p}_1) - h(\vec{p}_2)]\chi_{p\alpha}(\vec{q}) \\ &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} K(\vec{p}, \vec{q}, \vec{k}, E)\chi_{p\alpha}(\vec{k}) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where E is the total energy of the system, $\chi_{p\alpha}(\vec{q})$ is the wave function for the system,

$$h(\vec{p}_i) = \vec{\alpha}_i \cdot \vec{p}_i + \beta_i m_i, \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (26)$$

is the Hamiltonian for the i th fermion and $K(\vec{p}, \vec{q}, \vec{k}, E)$ denotes the three-dimensional interaction kernel which may be explicitly expressed in terms of a few types of Green's functions and is energy-dependent as any interaction kernel^[10,11]. In this paper, we only concern the t-channel one-gluon exchange kernel. This kernel still has the form as represented in Eq.(3) except that the gluon propagator becomes three-dimensional. In the Feynman gauge, the

propagator is of the form^[10,11]

$$D(\vec{p}, \vec{q}, \vec{k}, E) = -\frac{1}{2Q} \left[\frac{1}{E - E(\vec{p}_2) - E(\vec{p}_1) - Q + i\epsilon} + \frac{1}{E - E(\vec{p}_1) - E(\vec{p}_2) - Q + i\epsilon} \right] \quad (27)$$

where $Q = |\vec{q} - \vec{k}|$ is the magnitude of the three-dimensional transfer momentum, E is the total energy of the interacting system, $E(\vec{p}_1)$, $E(\vec{p}_2)$, $E(\vec{p}_1')$ and $E(\vec{p}_2')$ are the energies of single free particles which are on the mass-shell. It is easy to verify that when the total energy in Eqs.(8) and (27) is put on the mass shell, $E = E(\vec{p}_1) + E(\vec{p}_2) = E(\vec{p}_1') + E(\vec{p}_2')$, the both expressions in Eqs.(8) and (27) may be transformed to each other. When the energy E is off-shell, the propagator shown above just is the exact three-dimensional representation of the propagator written in Eq.(8) and therefore containing all the retardation effects in the case of bound states.

Now let us discuss the retardation terms in the OGEP. It is clear that when the propagator in Eq.(27) is inserted into Eq.(6), we obtain a rigorous relativistic representation of the retarded OGEP written in the three-dimensional space. In the following, we are interested in deriving a retarded OGEP in the nonrelativistic approximation which is used in the nonrelativistic quark potential model. For this purpose, it is necessary to write the propagator in Eq.(27) in the nonrelativistic approximation of the order p^2/m^2 by employing the approximate expression in Eq.(9)

$$D(\vec{P}, \vec{q}, \vec{k}) = \frac{1}{2Q} \left[\frac{1}{Q - \varepsilon + \frac{\vec{p}_1'^2}{2m_1} + \frac{\vec{p}_2'^2}{2m_2} - i\epsilon} + \frac{1}{Q - \varepsilon + \frac{\vec{p}_2'^2}{2m_2} + \frac{\vec{p}_1'^2}{2m_1} - i\epsilon} \right] \quad (28)$$

where $\varepsilon = E - m_1 - m_2$ is the binding energy. Firstly, we discuss a special case that the binding energy is small, being of the order of p^2/m^2 . In this case, noticing the common recognition mentioned before for the expansion shown in Eq.(13) that in the nonrelativistic approximation, the Coulomb term plays a dominant role in the OGEP^[2,6,7], the above propagator may approximately be taken to be^[11]

$$D(\vec{P}, \vec{q}, \vec{k}) = \frac{1}{Q^2} + \frac{1}{Q^3} \left[\varepsilon - \frac{\vec{P}^2}{2m_{12}} - \frac{1}{4\mu} (\vec{q}^2 + \vec{k}^2) \right] \quad (29)$$

where μ is the reduced mass of two particles, $\vec{P}$, $\vec{q}$ and $\vec{k}$ are the momenta defined in Eq.(12). In Eq.(29), except for the first term which is instantaneous, the other terms all come from the retardation effect. In contrast to the corresponding expression given in Eq.(15), the propagator in Eq.(29) is off-shell, i.e., energy-dependent, and, as we see, the retardation terms in it no longer vanish in the center of mass frame. Substituting Eqs.(10) and (29) into Eq.(6), the OGEP may be separated into two parts: the instantaneous part $V_I(\vec{P}, \vec{q}, \vec{k})$ and the retardation part $V_R(\vec{P}, \vec{q}, \vec{k})$

$$V(\vec{P}, \vec{q}, \vec{k}) = V_I(\vec{P}, \vec{q}, \vec{k}) + V_R(\vec{P}, \vec{q}, \vec{k}) \quad (30)$$

where

$$V_I(\vec{P}, \vec{q}, \vec{k}) = \frac{4\pi\alpha_s\hat{C}_s}{|\vec{q} - \vec{k}|^2} \Gamma(\vec{P}, \vec{q}, \vec{k}) \quad (31)$$

in which the $\Gamma(\vec{P}, \vec{q}, \vec{k})$ was represented in Eq.(10) and

$$V_R(\vec{P}, \vec{q}, \vec{k}) = \frac{4\pi\alpha_s\hat{C}_s}{|\vec{q} - \vec{k}|^3} [\varepsilon - \frac{\vec{P}^2}{2m_{12}} - \frac{1}{4\mu}(\vec{q}^2 + \vec{k}^2)] \quad (32)$$

By the Fourier transformation

$$\begin{aligned} V(\vec{P}, \vec{r}, \vec{r}') &= \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{r} - i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}'} V(\vec{P}, \vec{q}, \vec{k}) \\ &= \delta^3(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') V(\vec{P}, \vec{r}) \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

We may obtain a local OGEP in the position space

$$V(\vec{P}, \vec{r}) = V_I(\vec{P}, \vec{r}) + V_R(\vec{P}, \vec{r}) \quad (34)$$

where $V_I(\vec{P}, \vec{r})$ and $V_R(\vec{P}, \vec{r})$ are respectively the instantaneous part and the retardation part of the potential $V(\vec{P}, \vec{r})$. The instantaneous part is^[1,11]

$$\begin{aligned} V_I(\vec{P}, \vec{r}) &= \frac{\alpha_s\hat{C}_s}{r} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\vec{P}^2}{m_{12}} + \frac{i(m_1 - m_2)}{2m_1m_2m_{12}r^2} (\vec{r} \cdot \vec{P} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2r^2 \vec{P} \cdot \nabla_{\vec{r}}) - \frac{\pi(m_1 - m_2)^2}{2m_1^2m_2^2} r \delta^3(\vec{r}) - \frac{1}{m_1m_2r^2} (r^2 \nabla_{\vec{r}}^2 \right. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\vec{r} \cdot \nabla_{\vec{r}}) - \frac{8\pi}{3m_1m_2} r \delta^3(\vec{r}) \vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_2 - \frac{3}{4m_1m_2r^2} T(\vec{r}) \\
& + \frac{1}{2m_{12}r^2} \vec{L}_R \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{S}_1}{m_1} - \frac{\vec{S}_2}{m_2} \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{2m_1m_2r^2} \vec{L}_r \cdot \left[\left(2 + \frac{m_2}{m_1} \right) \vec{S}_1 + \left(2 + \frac{m_1}{m_2} \right) \vec{S}_2 \right] \}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{P} &= -i\nabla_{\vec{R}}, \vec{S}_i = \frac{\vec{\sigma}_i}{2}, i = 1, 2 \\
\vec{L}_R &= \vec{r} \times (-i\nabla_{\vec{R}}), \vec{L}_r = \vec{r} \times (-i\nabla_{\vec{r}}) \\
T(\vec{r}) &= \frac{1}{r^2} \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{r} \vec{\sigma}_2 \cdot \vec{r} - \frac{1}{3} \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

$\vec{R}$ and $\vec{r}$ denote the center of mass coordinate and the relative coordinate respectively. For the retardation part, we have^[11]

$$V_R(\vec{P}, \vec{r}) = -\frac{2}{\pi} \alpha_s \hat{C}_s \left\{ \varepsilon \ln \frac{r}{r_0} - \frac{\vec{P}^2}{2m_{12}} + \frac{1}{4\mu r^2} [1 + 2\vec{r} \cdot \nabla_{\vec{r}} + 2r^2 \ln(\frac{r}{r_0}) \nabla_{\vec{r}}^2] \right\} \tag{37}$$

where the Fourier transformation

$$\int \frac{d^3Q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{e^{i\vec{Q} \cdot \vec{r}}}{|\vec{Q}|^3} = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2} \ln \frac{r}{r_0} \tag{38}$$

in which r_0 is a size parameter has been used. The logarithmic function in Eq.(37) was ever phenomenologically introduced to the quark potential model in some previous works^[12] for describing the intermediate-range interaction. Now, it appears as a natural result of the retardation effect.

Next, we have a particular interest in deriving a retarded OGEP in a more general case that the binding energy is not required to be small. In this case, the gluon propagator in Eq.(28) should be expanded in the form in the approximation of order p^2/m^2 as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
D(\vec{P}, \vec{q}, \vec{k}) &= \frac{1}{Q(Q-\varepsilon)} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{Q-\varepsilon} \left[\frac{\vec{P}^2}{2m_{12}} + \frac{1}{4\mu} (\vec{q}^2 + \vec{k}^2) \right] \right\} \tag{39} \\
&= \frac{1}{Q^2} + D_R(\vec{P}, \vec{q}, \vec{k})
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$D_R(\vec{P}, \vec{q}, \vec{k}) = D_1(Q) - D_2(Q) \left[\frac{\vec{P}^2}{2m_{12}} + \frac{1}{4\mu}(\vec{q}^2 + \vec{k}^2) \right] \quad (40)$$

in which

$$D_1(Q) = \frac{\varepsilon}{Q^2(Q - \varepsilon)} \quad (41)$$

and

$$D_2(Q) = \frac{1}{Q(Q - \varepsilon)^2} \quad (42)$$

With the propagator given in Eq.(39), the total OGEP may also be divided into the two parts as written in Eq.(30). The instantaneous part of the potential is still the one represented in Eq.(31) in the momentum space and the one in Eq.(35) in the position space. While, the retardation part of the potential now is of the following form in the approximation of order p^2/m^2

$$V_R(\vec{P}, \vec{q}, \vec{k}) = 4\pi\alpha_s\hat{C}_s\{D_1(Q)\Gamma(\vec{P}, \vec{q}, \vec{k}) - D_2(Q)\left[\frac{\vec{P}^2}{2m_{12}} + \frac{1}{4\mu}(\vec{q}^2 + \vec{k}^2)\right]\} \quad (43)$$

Through the Fourier transformation as written in Eq.(33), we may obtain from Eq.(43) a local form of the retardation part of the potential as follows

$$\begin{aligned} V_R(\vec{P}, \vec{r}) = & 4\pi\alpha_s\hat{C}_s\left\{ \left[1 - \frac{\vec{P}^2}{m_{12}^2} + \frac{(m_1 - m_2)^2}{8m_1^2m_2^2}\nabla_{\vec{r}}^2 + \frac{1}{2m_{12}}(\vec{P} \times \nabla_{\vec{r}}) \right. \right. \\ & \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{S}_1}{m_1} - \frac{\vec{S}_2}{m_2} \right) + \frac{1}{m_1m_2}\vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_2\nabla_{\vec{r}}^2 - \frac{1}{m_1m_2}\vec{S}_1 \cdot \nabla_{\vec{r}}\vec{S}_2 \cdot \nabla_{\vec{r}} \left. \right] \\ & \times D_1(r) - \frac{i(m_1 - m_2)}{2m_1m_2m_{12}}\vec{P} \cdot [\nabla_{\vec{r}}D_1(r) + 2D_1(r)\nabla_{\vec{r}}] \\ & - \frac{1}{m_1m_2}[\nabla_{\vec{r}}D_1(r) \cdot \nabla_{\vec{r}} + D_1(r)\nabla_{\vec{r}}^2] - \frac{i}{2m_1m_2}[\nabla_{\vec{r}}D_1(r) \\ & \times \nabla_{\vec{r}}] \cdot \left[\left(2 + \frac{m_2}{m_1}\right)\vec{S}_1 + \left(2 + \frac{m_1}{m_2}\right)\vec{S}_2 \right] - \frac{\vec{P}^2}{2m_{12}}D_2(r) \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{4\mu}[\nabla_{\vec{r}}^2D_2(r) + 2\nabla_{\vec{r}}D_2(r) \cdot \nabla_{\vec{r}} + 2D_2(r)\nabla_{\vec{r}}^2] \right\} \quad (44) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1(r) &= \int \frac{d^3Q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\varepsilon e^{i\vec{Q} \cdot \vec{r}}}{\vec{Q}^2(|\vec{Q}| - \varepsilon)} \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi r} \cos x_0 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dx e^{-x}}{x^2 + x_0^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi r} \left\{ \cos x_0 - 1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \ln x_0 \sin x_0 - \frac{2}{\pi} [\xi(x_0) \cos x_0 - \eta(x_0) \sin x_0] \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

in which $x_0 = |\varepsilon| r$,

$$\xi(x_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n x_0^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)(2n+1)!}, \quad \eta(x_0) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n x_0^{2n}}{2n(2n)!} \tag{46}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
D_2(r) &= \int \frac{d^3Q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{e^{i\vec{Q} \cdot \vec{r}}}{|\vec{Q}|(|\vec{Q}| - \varepsilon)^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dx \frac{\sin x}{(x + x_0)^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left[\frac{\pi}{2} \sin x_0 - \ln x_0 \cos x_0 - \xi(x_0) \sin x_0 - \eta(x_0) \cos x_0 \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

In the practical calculation, the integral expressions in Eqs.(45) and (47) are more suitable to be used. In the case of the binding energy being small, the functions $D_1(r)$ and $D_2(r)$ will be approximated to

$$D_1(r) \approx -\frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi^2} \ln(|\varepsilon| r) \tag{48}$$

and

$$D_2(r) \approx -\frac{1}{2\pi^2} [1 + \ln(|\varepsilon| r)] \tag{49}$$

With this approximation, the expression in Eq.(44) will be reduced to the one given in Eq.(37) if we set $|\varepsilon| = r_0^{-1}$ in the function $\ln(|\varepsilon| r)$.

0.1 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Professor Shi-Shu Wu for useful discussions. This work was supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China and in part by The Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education.

0.2 References

- 1 A. De Rujula, H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. D12, 147 (1975).

References

- [1] E. Eichten, K. Gottfried, T. Kinoshita, K. D. Lane and T. M. Yan, Phys. Rev. D17, 3090 (1978); D21, 203 (1980).
- [2] E. Eichten and F. Feinberg, Phys. Rev. D23, 2724 (1981).
- [3] D. Gromes, Nucl. Phys. B131, 80 (1977).
- [4] W. Lucha, F. F. Schöberl and D. Gromes, Phys. Rep. 200, 127 (1991).
- [5] S. N. Mukherjee, R. Nag, S. Sanyal, T. Morii, J. Morishita and M. Tsuge, Phys. Rep. 231, 201 (1993).
- [6] A. I. Akhiezer and V. B. Berestetskii, Quantum Electrodynamics, John Wiley & Sons. Inc. Chap.VI.(1965).
- [7] J. Zeng, J. W. Van Orden and W. Roberts, Phys. Rev. D52, 5229 (1995).
- [8] N. Brambilla and G. M. Prospero, Phys. Rev. D46, 1096 (1992); D48, 2360 (1993).
- [9] Jun-Chen Su, Commun. Theor. Phys. 15, 437 (1991).
- [10] Yu-Bing Dong, Jun-Chen Su and Shi-Shu Wu, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 18, 75 (1992).

- [11] C.Quigg and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Lett. B71, 153 (1977); Phys. Rep. 56, 168 (1979).